

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect



Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 64 (2012) 85 - 94

INTERNATIONAL EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY CONFERENCE IETC2012

Examination of Technology in Turkish Social Studies Curricula

Prof. Dr. Cemil Öztürk^a, Dr. E. Özlem Yiğit^b, Dr. Hıdır Karaduman^c

^aMarmara University, Istanbul, 34722, Turkey
 ^bAbant Izzet Baysal University, Bolu, 14280, Turkey
 ^cAnadolu University, Eskişehir, 26470, Turkey

Abstract

This study is designed in descriptive model because it aims to analyze 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th grade social studies curricula in a technology focused view. In this context, document analysis technique was used which is a qualitative research method. Learning areas, acquisitions and skills in social studies curricula are considered in evaluation process. In conclusion, it was seen that in social studies curricula, Science, Technology and Society learning is directly technology oriented and this learning area has strict connections with others. Technology oriented activities are also implemented as needed in other learning areas. Besides, it was determined that there are 23 technology oriented acquisitions in 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula in Turkey. Six of them are in the 4th grade, six of them are in the 5th grade, five of them are in the 6th grade and six of them in the 7th grade. In this context, technology oriented acquisitions formed 13,2% of whole acquisitions in curricula (total 174). In skill dimension "using information technologies" skill is technology oriented and it is towards the effective usage of technology.

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of The Association Science Education and Technology

Kewords: Social studies, curriculum, technology, technology literacy

1. Introduction

People invent different tools and techniques to meet their main requirements and to make things easier since their existence in the world. (Günay and Arıduru, 2001). Using different tools and more importantly invention skills distinguish people from other beings (Şenel, 1995; Childe, 2007, p.20; Öztürk, 2008, p.40). Technology is based on this characteristic. Technology has been defined in different ways by various scientists. In broad terms, it can be defined as a process that people make modifications in the nature for the aim of meeting different desires and needs (Commoner, 1996; AAAS, 1993; NRC, 1996; ITEA, 2000; NAE and NRC, 2002; Pearson and Young, 2002; Garmire and Pearson, 2006; International Technology Education Association, 2007). It emerged with early humans' inventions of different tools that they could not do with their indefensible and deficient bodies; and life became more

qualified through those tools. Tools used for a specific purpose and humans' activities towards that purpose (Heidegger, 2010) are included in the context of technology. Technology represents inventors' views and cultures because it is created by that people (Maguth, 2009), and it has effects on human while it is shaped by them (ITEA, 2007).

The relationship between technology and education has been of the interest since the beginning of humanity and it can be said that technology education began primitively with teaching the use of those tools to others. First people taught their children through shapes which they draw on sand by a stick, how they caught animals to eat, which animals they had to avoid, which plants were edible and which were poisonous. People are to convey information like lighting a fire and making clothes with animal hides to their children through samples and advices, because they cannot transfer those information through inheritance to next generation (Childe, 1988, p.22). Parallel with the technological developments, there is a change in education, and students have opportunities to learn in their pace (Thornton, 2006). Traditional face to face teaching is replaced with written materials (Çetin et.al., 2004, p.145) and informatics and those materials have reached to a level in which they are replaced with teacher. In Industrial Age domestic production was replaced with mass production and the requirement towards people who have tool using skills and who produce for society (Oktay, 2007, p.17).

Science and technology do not shape only the education, but also they define a new labor force; free market economy, bank networks, multinational firms and as a result the concept of globalisation has rised with it (Şahin 2001; Yılmaz and Horzum, 2005). Thus, humans' activities go beyond the scope of national state and they gain international characteristics. On the other side, while human can get information about anything they search; special information about people like credit card numbers, employee info and etc. can be accessible. Besides, at the end of the "Genom" project human can get a gene identity card (Bökesoy and Arda, 1993). Humans' gene map will be defined with this identity card and besides, their social status can be determined with it. For instance, humans' orientations can be defined with DNA codes and those codes can be considered when s/he will look for a job, marry or register to anywhere (Collins, 1999; ITEA, 2007). Technology is very important for human lives and it has both positive and negative effects, thus teaching knowledge, skills and attitudes towards technology are important not only for development of countries bu also for the world's future. However, in formal education process technology education is only offered recently.

Parallel with technological developments that underlie on issues like widespread of nucleeer guns, genetically modified plants, Internet's hegemony, global warming and AIDS which remain on the international agenda (Weiss, 2005), governments have accepted that the necessity of reconstruction of educational systems (Means, Olson ve Ruskus, 1997, p. 1). Japanese success on technology and skill based education have forced other countries, especially the USA, to make changes on their educational systems in the context of technology (Chafy, 1997). In the 21st century, countries' power and statute are determined by their level in solving socio-economic, cultural and political problems with availing the advantages of science and technology (Kellani, 2009). In todays' world, countries tend to have prevalence on other areas to the degree that their hegemony on science and technology. This shows the importance of technology in states' competitions once more (1). Besides, international organizations like UNESCO and World Bank stated that developing countries could eliminate the poverty when they would aware the importance of technology (Brewer and et.al., 20005). When we analyze Deweys' views, it can be seen that he highlighted the importance of technology as a tool that is used by modern people to increase their skills. Besides, Dewey considered technological development level as a criterion when he classified societies as modern and non-modern (Dewey, 1964). Technology is especially an idea and a product of human brain (Erkan, 2006) and, it is so important in life that individuals and societies without technology are interpreted as being out of life (Kabakçıbaşı and Odabaşı, 2004). This is more important for todays' people who can communicate anywhere by cell phones and can make sort of works with a click and, it is necessary for them to get knowledge about technological world and use it for making life easier (Bacanak, Karamustafaoğlu and Köse, 2003). Technology education is also necessary to notice technological danger (Kabakçı and Odabaşı, 2004), to meet expectations of employer in professional life (Machin and Reenen, 1998), to be able to choose and use best products for their purposes at home and work and it improves the chances to effect governmental decisions about the usage of technology (International Technology Education Association, 2007).

Technology takes place as an individual course in some educational systems, and governments never exclude it from their curricula. In modern world, technology education is not a phenomenon just related with technical schools, it extends across effective and economic usage of tools (Lewis, 2000) and issues like the role of technology in modern societies (Senel and Gencoğlu, 2003), and its' social aims (Chafy, 1997; Pavlova, 2005) are evaluated critically during this process. Technology is generally offered as an individual course in primary and secondary schools and connections with other learning areas are established. On the other hand, it is emphasized that technology education should be included pre-school education (Turja, Ulpe and Chatoney, 2009). The role of the technologies on sustainable development is also pointed (Elshof, 2009; Filho, Manolas and Pace, 2009; Middleton, 2009; Paylova, 2009; McGarr, 2010) and the momentum towards inclusion of technology in primary school curricula, and it shows the acceptance of its' importance and educational role in lots of countries (Hill, 1997; Raizen, 1997; Stables, 1997; Fleer, 2000; Mawson, 2003; Sade and Coll, 2003; Ankiewicz, de Swardt and de Vries, 2006; Filho, Manolas and Pace, 2009). In Turkey, tecnology education is included in compulsory education with Science-Technology and Tecnology-Design courses. Besides, technological issues are included in other courses like social studies. Social studies course is accepted as a preparation process of democratic citizenship and, questions like "how can we keep up with rapid changes in technology? and how can we arrange relationship among people from different cultures in the context of technology?" are included in scope of this course. In this context, it is seen that social studies course focuses especially on the social dimensions of technology. When we analyze social studies curriculum which has been implemented since 2005, together with requirements towards more comprehensive connections (Özensov, 2009), issues are associated with effects of scientific and technological developments on social change. Thus, the level of the relationship between technology and social studies is important. The aim of this study is to evaluate the social studies curriculum while focusing on technology and to explain the relationship between them. Following questions are answered in study in accordance with this aim:

- 1. What are the technology focused learning areas in 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula?
 - 2. What are the technology focused acquisitions in 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula?
 - 3. What are the technology focused skills in 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula?

2. Method

This study is designed in descriptive model because it aims to analyze 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th grade social studies curricula in a technology focused view. In this context, document analysis technique was used which is a qualitative research method. Learning areas, acquisitions and skills in social studies curricula are considered in evaluation process. Researchers analyzed the technology related items in curricula individually and common findings are presented in conclusion.

3. Findings

Findings about technology focused evaluation of 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula are presented following with learning area, acquisition and skill subtitles.

3.1. Learning Areas

Learning areas in 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula are Individual and Society; Culture and Heritage; People, Places and Environments; Production, Distribution and Consumption; Science, Technology and Society; Groups, Institutions and Social Organizations; Power, Governance and Society and Global Connections. Among those learning areas Science, Technology and Society is directly technology oriented. Explanations in curricula related with this learning area are as follows;

In this learning area 4 th and 5 th graders will (MEB, 2006a);

Comprehend that scientific and technological developments are based on creative, critical and scientific thinking and the effects of scientific and technological developments on social life and get skills about using technology in information process. Besides, while students will learn how technologies are connected with their daily life, they will discuss the damage of some technologies on natural environment. They will notice that original products are produced by law and consider the principles of academic honesty.

"Science, Technology and Society" learning area is connected with other learning areas. Thus, social studies curricula include experiences related with the issues of science, technology and society.

In this learning area 6 th and 7 th graders will (MEB, 2006b);

Comprehend the results and effects of social sciences sub-disciplines on daily and social life, and teacher asks them to give an opinion about the future life based upon scientific and technological developments of the 21st century.

They will notice recent scientific research and experiences are protected by law while they are discussing the effects of copyright and patent on scientific developments.

They will give examples about contributions of civilizations on science and technology in the process which began with the invention of simple tools. In this way, they will summarize the creation process of scientific heritage up to now and they will notice the parallelism between creative, critical, scientific thinking and developments in science and technology.

When we analyze the social studies curriculum in the context of Science, Technology and Society learning area, it is seen that students are asked to understand the relationship between science and technology and to comprehend the social differences based upon science and technology. Besides, as it is emphasized in curriculum, this learning area is connected with other learning areas closely. In this context, during the learning process of other areas as, it is required to analyze the effects of science and technology on social life. Technology has effects on all dimensions of social life. Aforementioned connections in curriculum are important to develop students' awareness about the effects of technology and to educate them as technologically literate citizens who can use technology properly in their life.

3.2 Acquisitions

Technology focused acquisitions in 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula are presented in Table 1 as following;

Table 1	l. Technol	logy focuse	d acquisitions	in 4 ",	5 ¹¹¹ ,	6 ¹¹¹ aı	nd 7 '''	grac	le social	studie	s curricula	ı

Acquisitions	Class Level	Learning Area	Unit
1. Classify technologic products according	4	Science, Technology	So Glad to Have
to their usage area.		and Society	

2. Know certain time measuring devices and techniques that human use.	4	Science, Technology and Society	So Glad to Have
3. Comprehend the development process	4	Science, Technology	So Glad to Have
of technological products which they use.	4	and Society	So Glad to Have
4. Compare past and present considering	4	Science, Technology	So Glad to Have
the changes on life and the environment		and Society	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
that made by technological products.		and Society	
5. Design original products considering	4	Science, Technology	So Glad to Have
needs of her/his environment.	7	and Society	50 Glad to Have
	4		So Glad to Have
6. Use technological products without	4	Science, Technology	So Glad to Have
harming themselves, the others and the		and Society	
nature.			
7. Make correlations between inventions	5	Science, Technology	Dreams Come to
and the technological developments.		and Society	True
8. Discuss the effects of inventions and	5	Science, Technology	Dreams Come to
technological developments on social life.		and Society	True
9. Aware of the common characteristics of	5	Science, Technology	Dreams Come to
inventors and scientists.		and Society	True
10. Present the evidence-based importance	5	Science, Technology	Dreams Come to
that Atatürk gave towards science and	-	and Society	True
technology.		and Society	1140
11. Know and follow appropriate	5	Science, Technology	Dreams Come to
periodicals about science and technology.	3	and Society	True
12. Discuss the effects of communication	5	·	
	3	Science, Technology	Dreams Come to
and transportation technologies on		and Society	True
economic relationship between countries.			
13. Based on research and findings of	6	Science, Technology	Electronic
social sciences, give examples to effects of		and Society	Century
the social sciences on social life.			
14. Bounce ideas about potential effects of	6	Science, Technology	Electronic
scientific and technological developments		and Society	Century
on future life.		,	J
15. Notice the relationship among medical	6	Science, Technology	Electronic
inventions and developments and human		and Society	Century
life and social solidarity.		and Society	Cultury
16. Advocate the requirement of legal	6	Science, Technology	Electronic
supplement of products which have	U	and Society	Century
		and Society	Century
copyrights and patent.	-	Caianaa Tl1.	Elaster ::
17. Based on applications and products,	6	Science, Technology	Electronic
notice the importance Ataturk gave to		and Society	Century
rationalism and science.			
18. Give examples to the contributions of	7	Science, Technology	Science in Time
first civilizations towards scientific and		and Society	
technological developments.			
19. Based on the first examples of writing,	7	Science, Technology	Science in Time
notice usage areas of writing and its'		and Society	
importance in information transfer		•	
process.			
<u> </u>			

20. Evaluate the scientific and technological contributions of scientists who lived in Turkish and Muslim states.	7	Science, Technology and Society	Science in Time
21. Notice the effects of developments between 15 th and 19 th centuries in Europe on today's scientific background.	7	Science, Technology and Society	Science in Time
22. Correlate freedoms of thought, expression and science with scientific development.	7	Science, Technology and Society	Science in Time
23. Based on examples from past and today, evaluate the effects of production technologies on social and economic life.	7	Science, Technology and Society	Economy and the Social Life

As we can understand from Table 1 there are 23 technology oriented acquisitions in the 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula in Turkey. Six of them are in the 4th grade, six of them are in the 5 th grade, five of them are in the 6th grade and six of them in the 7 th grade. In this context, technology oriented acquisitions formed 13,2% of whole acquisitions in the curricula (total 174). It was seen that those acquisitions were oriented to knowing and classifying technology, comprehending its' development in historical process, comparing technologies from past to today and predicting towards future, understanding the effects of technology on social life and based on their daily life giving examples about those effects, using technology in ethic and accurate ways.

3.3. Skills

"Using information technologies" ranks among main skills of the 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula. Details about these skills are explained in curricula as follows (MEBd):

- 1. Using computer under directions.
- 2. Recording, formatting and reusing information that are gained from different sources.
- 3. Presenting formatted information on computer environment.
- 4. Preparing multimedia reports by using texts, graphics, color and voice effects.
- 5. Gaining ability to access to information by using phone and television networks.
- 6. Using properly the technological products that have access in daily life.

Conclusion and Discussion

We are increasingly dependent on the technology; in spite of this we are ignorant of the nature and history of the technology that sustains us. As citizens of a democratic country, we are disengaged from decisions that help to shape our future. We need to train our students as technologically literate individuals and it requires some changes in our educational system. Looking at findings, we can say that despite the growing importance of technology in social studies curricula in Turkey it is not enough. To have an impact about technology and this should also influence what happens in every classroom.

In conclusion, it was seen that in social studies curricula, Science, Technology and Society learning is directly technology oriented and this learning area has strict connections with others. Technology oriented activities are also implemented as needed in other learning areas. Besides, it was determined that there are 23 technology oriented acquisitions in 4 th, 5 th, 6 th and 7 th grade social studies curricula in Turkey. Six of them are in the 4th grade, six of them are in the 5th grade, five of them are in the 6th grade and six of them in the 7th grade. In this context, technology oriented acquisitions formed 13,2% of whole acquisitions in curricula (total 174). In skill dimension "using information technologies" skill is technology oriented and it is towards the effective usage of technology. In Bologna Decleration,

which was published by European Union in 1999, inclusion of technological developments in educational process was suggested. Thus, the need emerges towards designing new curricula which will be technology oriented. By force of transfer from industrial arts to technology education, people need to be technologically literate to place in a technology based society Technology education is included in the framework of curricula in many countries and the first objective of this education is to develop technological literacy (Waetjen, 1993; de Vries and Tamir, 1997; Verner and Betzer, 2001; Zuga, 2004; Canavan and Doherty, 2007, p. 292; Solomonidou and Tassios, 2007, p. 116; Rossouw, Hacker and de Vries, 2010). In this context, we can say that together with technology oriented acquisitions and skills, social studies curricula can contribute students to comprehend the effects of technology on social life in different dimensions and to be technologically literate.

In social studies curricula it was stated that Science, Technology and Society learning area should be connected with other learning areas. This statement can provide students with opportunities to evaluate technology in the context of other social disciplines like history, geography, archeology, economy, law, political sciences and philosophy. For instance, future elections can be done through technology, people can organize and contact with government in digital environments; because of this reason, technology literacy should be related with political sciences inevitably. In this context, linking technology with political sciences in social studies and making practices about it will provide students to be technologically literate and also to be active citizens of the future. Synder (2008, p.147) mentioned that together with developments in the political system, technology has gained importance in means of how we can learn to use information and communication technologies and how we can communicate with others. Thus, it is important for social studies educator to use technology and digital materials for the purpose of teaching students to be active citizens of democratic society. The relationship between Science, Technology and Society learning area and other learning areas is functional and necessary for this reason.

According to Mason and et.al. (2000), students can learn to use technology in context and thus, ICT is among inseparable parts of social studies. In other words, students should use technology in all courses, not only in computer course. Social studies have a critical role in this situation. Especially in the 21st century together with frequent usage of concepts like e-government, e-individual, e-citizenship, digital citizenship and cyber citizenship, integrating technology into social studies has gained more importance. In Turkey, social studies curriculum is included with technology oriented acquisitions and skills. However, it is not enough and here the important thing is to give these acquisitions and skills effectively to students. Thus, activities about these learning areas and teachers who teach students are more important. Research about these activities and teachers' competencies can provide to determine the problems in practice and to take necessary steps about this issue.

References

American Association for the Advancement of Science. (1993). *Benchmarks for science literacy*. New York: Oxford University Press: Author.

Ankiewicz, P., de Swardt, E., de Vries, M. (2006). Some implications of the philosophy of technology for science, technology and society (STS) studies. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 16(2), 117-141.

Bacanak, A., Karamustafaoğlu, O., Köse, S. (2003). Yeni bir bakış: Eğitimde teknoloji okuryazarlığı. *Pamukkale Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*. No. 14.

Bökesoy, I., Arda, B. (1993). İnsan genomu projesinin (HUGO'nun) etik ve sosyal yönleri. Türkiye Klinikleri. *J. Med. Ethics*, 1(1), 22-26.

Brewer, E., Demmer, M., Du, B. Ho., M., Kam., M., Nedevschi, S., Pal, J., Patra, R., Surana, S.,

Fall, K. (2005). The case for technology in developing regions. Computer, 38(6), 25-38.

Canavan, B., Doherty, R. (2007). Technical education in Scotland: fit for purpose? *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 17(3), 291-304.

Chafy, R. (1997). Exploring the intellectual foundation of technology education: from Condorcet to Dewey. *Journal of Technology Education*. 9(1).

Childe, G. (1988). Kendini Yaratan İnsan (3rd Ed.). (Ceviren: Filiz Ofluoğlu). İstanbul: Varlık Yayınları.

Childe, G. (2007). *Tarihte Neler Oldu (4th Ed)*. (Çeviren: Mete Tunçay-Alâeddin Şenel). İstanbul: Kırmızı Yayınları.

Collins, F. S. (1999). Medical and societal consequences of the human genome project. *N. Eng. J. Med.* 341(1), 28-37.

Commoner, B. (1996). *The Closing Circle: Nature, Man and Technology*. In Matthew Alan Cahn and Rory O'Biren (Eds.). Thinking About The Environment (pp. 161-166). M. E. Sharpe, Inc.

Çetin, Ö., Çakıroğlu, M., Bayılmış, C., Ekiz, H. (2004). Teknolojik gelişme için eğitimin önemi ve internet destekli öğretimin eğitimdeki yeri. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 3(3), 17, 144-147.

Dewey, J. (1964). *John Dewey on education: Selected works* (R. D. Archambault, Ed.). New York: Random House.

De Vries, M. J., Tamir, A. (1997). Shaping concepts of technology: What concepts and how to shape them. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 7(1), 3-10.

Elshof, L. (2009). Toward sustainable practices in technology education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 19(2), 133-147.

Erkan, H. (2006). *Bilgi toplumu*. IV. Bilgi Teknolojileri Kongresi. Pamukkale Üniversitesi. 9-11 February 2006.

Filho, W. L., Manolas, E., Pace, P. (2009). Education for sustainable development: current discourses and practices and their relevance to technology education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 19(2), 159-165.

Fleer, M. (2000). Working technologically: Investigations into how young children design and make during technology education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 10(1), 43–59.

Garmire, E., Pearson, F. (2006). *Tech Tally: Approaches to Assessing Technological Literacy*. Washington DC: National Academies Press.

Günay, S., Arıduru, A. (2001). *Teknolojinin konumu ve neliği*. II. Conference on Technology, Quality and Production Systems, 07-08 June, Abant, Bolu.

Heidegger, M. (2010). *The question concerning technology*. In Craig Hanks (Ed.). Technology and Values Essential Readings (pp. 99-113) Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

Hill, A. M. (1997). Reconstructionism in technology education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 7(1), 121–139.

International Technology Education Association. (2000). Standards for technological literacy: Content for the study of technology. Reston, VA: Author.

International Technology Education Association (2007). Standards for Technological Literacy: Content

for the Study of Technology. http://www.iteaconnect.org/TAA/Publications/TAA Publications.html.

Kabakçı, I., Odabaşı, H. F. (2004). Teknolojiyi kullanmak ve teknogerçekçi olabilmek (2004). *Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi* 2004/1.

Kelani, R. R. E. D. (2009). A professional development study of technology education in secondary science teaching Benin: Issues of teacher change and self-efficacy beliefs. Unpublished Doctorate Thesis, Kent State University College, Ohio.

Lewis, T. (2000). Technology education and developing countries. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 10(2), 163-179.

Machin, S., Reenen, J. V. (1998). Technology and changes in skill structure: evidence from seven OECD countries. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 113,(4), 1215-1244.

Mason, C., Alibrandi, M., Berson, M., Dawson, K., Diem, R., Dralle, T., Hicks, D., Keiper, T., Lee, J. (2000). *Waking the sleeping giant: social studies teacher educators collaborate to integrate technology into methods' courses*. In C. Crawford et.al. (Eds.), Society for Information Technology and Teacher Education International Conference 2000 (1985-1989). Chesapeake, VA: AACE.

Mawson, B. (2003). Beyond "the design process": an alternative pedagogy for technology education. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 13(2), 117-128.

McGarr, O. (2010). Education for sustainable development in technology education in Irish schools: a curriculum analysis. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 20(3), 317-332.

Means, B., Olson, K., Ruskus, J. A. (1997). *Technology and Education Reform*. United States: Office of Educational Research and Improvement. Office of Reform and Dissemination.

MEB. (2005). Talim ve Terbiye Kurulu Başkanlığı. İlköğretim Sosyal Bilgiler Dersi (4 ve 5. Sınıflar) Öğretim Programı. Ankara: MEB Yayınları.

MEB. (2006). Talim ve Terbiye Kurulu Başkanlığı. İlköğretim Sosyal Bilgiler Dersi (6 ve 7. Sınıflar) Öğretim Programı. Ankara: MEB Yayınları.

Middleton, H. (2009). Problem solving in technology education as an approach to education for sustainable development. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 19(2), 187-197.

National Research Council. (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. Washington DC: National Academy Press.

NAE (National Academy of Engineering), NRC (National Research Council). (2002). *Technically Speaking: Why All Americans Need to Know More about Technology*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

Oktay, A. (2007). *Eğitimin temel kavramları ve eğitim düşüncesinin gelişimi*. In Ayla Oktay (Ed.). Eğitim Bilimine Giriş. Ankara: PegemA Yayıncılık.

Özensoy, A. U. (2009). Bilimsel-teknolojik gelişmelerin toplumsal değişmeye etkisi ve bu sürecin ilköğretim sosyal bilgiler programına yansıması. I. Uluslararası Türkiye Eğitim Araştırmaları Kongre Kitabı. Çanakkale On Sekiz Mart Üniversitesi, Çanakkale.

Öztürk, T. (2008). Paleolitik, Neolitik ve İlkçağda Bilim, Teknoloji ve Sosyal Değişme. In Bahri Ata (Ed.). *Bilim, Teknoloji ve Sosyal Değişme* (pp. 39-68). Ankara: PegemA Yayıncılık.

Pavlova, M. (2005). Social change: how should technology education respond? *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 15(3), 199-215.

Pearson, G., Young, A. T. (2002). Technically speaking: Why all Americans need to know more about technology. *The Technology Teacher*, 62, 8-12.

Raizen, S. A. (1997). Making way for technology education. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 6, 59-70.

Rossouw, A., Hacker, M., de Vries, M. J. (2010). Concepts and contexts in engineering and technology education: an international and interdisciplinary Delphi study. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, DOI: 10.1007/s10798-010-9129-1.

Sade, D., Coll, R. K. (2003). Technology and technology education: views of some Solomon Island primary teachers and curriculum development officers. International *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 1(1), 87–114.

Solomonidou, C., Tassios, A. (2007). A phenomenographic study of Greek primary school students' representations concerning technology in daily life. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 17(2), 113-133.

Stables, K. (1997). Critical issues to consider when introducing technology education into the curriculum of young learners. *Journal of Technology Education*, 8(2), 50-66.

Synder, M. S. (2004). Defining the role of technology education by its heart and its heritage. *The Journal of Technology Studies On-Line Edition*, 30(1), 20-27.

Şahin, İ. (2001). Küreselleşme, dijital teknoloji ve eğitimde yeni yaklaşımlar. Presented in International Symposium on Educational Technologies that was organized in Sakarya in 28-30 November 2001.

Şenel, A. (1995). İlkel Topluluktan Uygar Topluma Geçiş Aşamasında Ekonomik, Toplumsal, Düşünsel Yapıların Etkileşimi. Ankara: Bilim ve Sanat Yayınları.

Şenel, A., Gençoğlu, S. (2003). Küreselleşen dünyada teknoloji eğitimi. *Gazi Üniversitesi Endüstriyel Sanatlar Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 11(12), 45-65.

Waetjen, W. B. (1993). Technological literacy reconsidered. *Journal of Technology Education*, 4(2), 5-10.

Weiss, C. (2005). Science, technology and international relations. *Technology in Society* 27, 295-313.

Thornton, J. L. (2006). *Technology and education*. http://www.writing.com/main/view_item/item_id/1162061-Technology-and-Education.

Turja, L., Ulpe, M. E., Chatoney, M. (2009). A concepual framework for developing the curriculum and delivery of technology education in early childhood. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 19(5), 353-365.

Verner, I. M., Betzer, N. (2001). Machine control – a design and technology discipline in israel's senior high schools. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 11(3), 263-272.

Yılmaz, K., Horzum, M.B. (2005). Küreselleşme, bilgi teknolojileri ve üniversite. *İnönü Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 6(10), 103-121.

Zuga, K. F. (2004). Improving technology education research on cognition. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 14(1), 79-87.

http://www.tubitak.gov.tr/btpd/btspd/ rapor/btpd tbvtp tr.html,1999